

## **A Crime Against Humanity**

The problem of cancer is known all over the world. The Austrian Ministry of Health assessed the efficacy of the medicament NSC 631570 (UKRAIN) in its final report on this drug in 1992. Instead of helping in research, the Austrian government is doing everything possible to destroy this medical product that attacks only cancer cells while leaving normal cells undamaged.

The proofs of this are in the letter.

**Ombudsman Board**

**Singerstraße 17**

**PO Box 20**

**1015 Vienna**

28 August 2015

Reference:

VA-BD-GU/0133-A/1/2014

Dear Ombudsman Dr. Kräuter,

I have devoted all my strength and energy to the development of an anti-cancer medicament with a selective effect which kills only cancer cells while leaving healthy cells undamaged.

Twelve universities in different countries have demonstrated and proved that my preparation NSC 631570 (UKRAIN) has a selective effect against cancer cells.

However, as long as NSC 631570 is not registered in Austria as its country of origin my work is categorised as “Liebhaberei” (a hobby), which is tax free in Austria.

Nevertheless over a period of only 20 years (from 1993 to 2013) I paid € 513,975.53 in tax to the Austrian state. (<http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Finanzaufwand.pdf>)

By 1992 more than 400 case histories of cancer patients who had exhausted all possible forms of conventional therapy and been discharged from hospital as incurable had been submitted to the Austrian Ministry of Health. The preparation NSC 631570 brought about full remissions in some of these seriously ill patients which have lasted longer than 10 years. It is generally known that after treatment with chemotherapy only approx. 2% of patients achieve a survival time of 5 years.

In 1992 even the Pharmaceuticals Advisory Board at the Federal Ministry of Health, Sport and Consumer Protection produced a document under the title “Final Report of the Pharmaceuticals Advisory Board at the Federal Ministry of Health, Sport and Consumer Protection, 1992” drawn up by Prof. Dr. H. Hitzenberger and department head Dr. G. Liebeswar which states the following most clearly: **“Numerous studies of Ukrain have been published over more than the last ten years;” “It appears that it must already be a fully developed preparation;” “The final product ‘Ukrain’ is declared to be in accordance with the pharmacopoeia and must therefore be reliable;” “Immunomodulating and malignotoxic activity has been attributed to it;” “So far more than 400 patients in many countries in the world have been treated with Ukrain in Phase III studies;” “The tolerability of Ukrain has evidently been judged to be good;” “Clinical reports on patients have stated that they have shown the following reactions: 1) standstill of tumour growth without further metastases; 2) partial remissions; 3) total remissions and 4) total remissions that have already lasted several years (up to 10 years);” “Ukrain accumulates in tumour tissue within minutes, where it can be seen through its autofluorescence under UV light... This enables good encapsulation of the tumour tissue from its surroundings which could be significant for surgical interventions;” “Ukrain has so far been tried with individual HIV patients who felt better both subjectively and objectively;” “This substance Ukrain has been**

**repeatedly put to the test in Austria, in fact since the summer of 1983;” “In considering all statements it can be said that a clinical test in Austria can still be approved since the tolerability of the substance Ukrain is obviously good.”** ([http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Zitate\\_Abschlussgutachten\\_1992.pdf](http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Zitate_Abschlussgutachten_1992.pdf))

The comparative study with NSC 631570 was carried out and the results presented to the civil servants at the Ministry of Health in 1996. The study showed that the 21 months survival rate for patients with colorectal cancer in the NSC 631570 group (48 patients) was 78.6% and in the chemotherapy group (48 patients) was 33.3%. ([http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Susak\\_1996.pdf](http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Susak_1996.pdf)) Clinical studies were also carried out in accordance with § 42 of the Medicines Act (in this type of clinical trial UKRAIN was used in the treatment of various kinds of oncological disease as occur in the normal practice of urban and rural doctors. In the design of this study the analytical work is adapted to the normal possibilities of general practitioners and certain limitations of rural doctors.)

The doctors who treated their patients with NSC 631570 in accordance with §42 asked for the preparation to be registered as quickly as possible. ([http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Arrouas\\_1993.pdf](http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Arrouas_1993.pdf), <http://www.ukrin.com/docs/erfahrungsberichte.pdf>)

The retrospective data of the second clinical study with colorectal cancer was presented at a cancer congress in Dubai in 2010. The retrospective results of this study whose participants were followed for 12 years showed that 75% of the group who received NSC 631570 (NSC 631570 - operation - NSC 631570) were alive and 45.8% of the group who received chemotherapy (chemotherapy - operation - chemotherapy). (<http://www.ukrin.com/docs/dubai2010.pdf>) **(More details of studies carried out with NSC 631570 can be found at: [http://ukrin.com/docs/Story\\_of\\_the\\_Prohibition\\_UKRAIN\\_EN\\_13\\_08\\_2015.pdf](http://ukrin.com/docs/Story_of_the_Prohibition_UKRAIN_EN_13_08_2015.pdf))**

The 1992 document entitled “Final Report of the Pharmaceutical Advisory Board of the Federal Ministry of Health, Sport and Consumer Protection” (GZ 21.405/1011-II/1/8/92)“ **is of special significance in the case of NSC 631570 because the above-mentioned statements were not made by laypeople but BY**

**REPRESENTATIVES OF THE AUSTRIAN MINISTRY OF HEALTH. The non-acceptance of these conclusions is in fact a rejection of the facts as well as an expression of mistrust of this institution. Consequently are the work, conclusions and report of the Ministry of Health specialists to be called into question?**

All the conditions necessary for the registration of NSC 631570 in Austria at the time of the application were fulfilled. Even more reason for the immediate registration of this anti-cancer preparation was the fact that it is made up of two already registered starting substances - greater celandine alkaloids and Thiotepa. And even now the preparation should be registered under the same law in accordance with the so-called "pipeline principle". (4, [http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Breif\\_Dr\\_Hauer\\_28\\_10\\_2014.pdf](http://www.ukrin.com/docs/Breif_Dr_Hauer_28_10_2014.pdf))

However, in 1995 my application for registration from 1976 was rejected with a decision from the Federal Ministry of Health and Consumer Protection (Zl. 2.921.726/7-II/C/16b/95). This decision was then annulled on 26 February 1996 by the Administrative Court Vienna due to unlawfulness and infringement of procedural regulations. ([http://www.ukrin.com/docs/im\\_namen\\_der\\_republik-1996.pdf](http://www.ukrin.com/docs/im_namen_der_republik-1996.pdf))

Despite this NSC 631570 is still not registered in Austria as its country of origin.

At the same time the highly toxic anti-cancer preparation "Taxol", which had been used with only 17 cancer patients, was registered in Austria. And Austria was the first country in the world to register this drug although it was not even its country of origin.

Could such conduct perhaps be considered as a disregard for Article 7 of the Austrian constitution?

In this context please allow me to ask the following questions:

- 1) Why are we ordinary Austrian citizens obliged to pay taxes but do not have the right to make use of the laws in force?**

**2) Why has this medical preparation not been registered by civil servants in accordance with the valid laws in Austria?**

**3) A study has found that if the anti-cancer preparation NSC 631570 had been registered in Austria the state could have received at least 2.8 billion euros in tax revenues per year and 2,375 jobs could have been created for Austrian citizens. Is the non-registration of this anti-cancer preparation therefore not a crime against the state as well as against its citizens?**

You can see the reasons for the questions I am asking in the enclosed documentation - the article "What is Ruining Democracy" and the "Story of the Prohibition of UKRAIN – the Latest Facts of the Crime".

I ask you for the great favour of explaining to me to whom I should turn for help so that this effective anti-cancer preparation can be made accessible to cancer patients as soon as possible and so that all those who have infringed Article 7 of the Austrian Constitution can be called to account?

In future I will take the liberty of publicising your answer to my letter as well as the steps you will take in this case, because in my view the public have the right to know the truth.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Wassil Nowicky